613 675 539 **Article 9:**

The Amar should never fight on the side of the wrongdoer, because if it does, it will have to pay with him for his wrongdoings.

Article 10:

[628] Any dispute or fight involving a member of the Amar and an outsider should be reported to the awaqil in the Amar who are supposed to take the matter up with the other party. If the awaqil are convinced that the outsider is the aggressor or that he is at fault, they should try to make him accede to the demands of the members of the Amar, using all their efforts to convince him and the aqila of his bait. [619] If he refuses, they should call him for a "Miad" (tribal meeting) in which all parties involved should be represented by their respective awaqil.

Article 11:

[563] [619] With regard to "iktitab" (tribal adoption), an outsider wanting to join the Aggary Family or one of its constiuent buite must be asked first about the reason or reasons for his desire to join. This must be done in order to know what kind of person he is and whether he will become a burden and liability to the Amar. If he proves to be of reputable standing, the aqila of the bait he wants to join can accept him without consultation with the rest of the awaqil. If on the other hand he is found to have grudges against someone or if someone has a financial claim over him, then the aqila of the bait cannot accept him without consultation with the other awaqil in the Amar. If all the awaqil agree to accept him, he is considered like one of the Eilat Aggary, having the same rights and bearing the same responsibilities.

Article 12:

A memberof the Amar who wants to separate himself from the Amar and get a "barawa" has to state his reasons beforehe can be released from the obligations of his membership in the amar. If his request to get the barawa was because of injustice he suffered by the Amar or any of its members, the awaqil have the duty to straighten the injustice and restore his rights. If, on the other hand, his request for the barawa was to avoid paying the Amar, then he cannot be released from his membership unless he pays all of his share, or else he must return all that the Amar has paid him until the time he asked for the barawa.

Article 13:

[695] [693] If one of the Amar is accused of an offense for which he is tried by the legal system of the state, the Amar as a whole share the expenses of hiring an attorney to defend him. This, however, is done after the awaqil are sure (by means of administering the oath) that he is innocent and that the accusation is false.

613 671 675 682 first appeared, the rule has become a practice so common that other Amars find it unnecessary to specify it in their own papers.

Another new rule which is in the process of acquiring a great amount 613 672 675 of consensus among the tribal population of Awlad Ali is that of the responsibility of the Amar for the payment of the lawyer's fees for members [693] [695] of the Amar who are tried by the government (Article 13 of the Aggary papers). Another less agreed upon rule is that of the responsibility of 613 672 675 the Amar for the financial losses caused by the confiscation of smuggled goods or the payment of money for the destruction of government property 439 [434] by a member of the Amar. Where smuggling is an important source of income for the group, Amar papers usually include rules making it a corporate responsibility; other Amar papers, especially those of tribal segments not deeply involved in smuggling, make it an individual responsibility, while still others leave it intentionally with no specific rule, as in the case of the Aggary Amar paper.

613 [675] The Aggary document is a relatively elaborate one. Not all Amar papers, or at least the ones I had a chance to examine, are as detailed. [131] Eilat Aggary is the largest Amar in the area of Dera'el Bahari, which extends from Alexandria 60 miles westward to the town of Alamein. The 613 563 619 distinctive characteristics of the Aggary Eila is that it is composed mainly of peasant groups who joined the Aggary by means of tribal adoption. Of the 16 Baits constituting the Aggary Amar, only three are believed to be Awlad Ali proper. The attractiveness of the Aggary for the peasant groups seeking to be adopted by the tribal population of the area may be partly explained in terms of the location of Eilat Aggary in an area relatively close to the Delta from where these groups usually migrate.

8: Kennett G-5 (ca. 1921) 1925 MT9 Libyan Bedouin MT9

had been. Besides, Ibrahim was an old man when it happened, and he would have to have died before very long in any case. Moreover, the sheikhs in their heart of hearts considerably admired the acumen displayed by Ali in getting hold of that document. So they decided privately among themselves that on the whole half the full blood-money, or £150, would meet the case. On no account, however, could they give in open court the above reasons for lessening the sum to be paid. They proceeded to listen patiently to more evidence, and eventually gave their considered judgment as follows:

"Defendant Ali is required to pay the full bloodmoney of £300. *But* in view of the fact that, when the police came to Ali's tent to arrest him seven years ago, in the excitement and commotion caused by the police patrol, Ali's wife gave birth to a premature boy child, and that this boy subsequently died, therefore the sum of £100 will be deducted from the total to be paid, for the sake of the dead baby. Also, as it cannot be proved conclusively that Ibrahim died simply and solely as the result of the stone thrown at his leg, and as it may be conceivably possible that other external causes contributed to his death, for this reason, therefore, another sum of £50 will be deducted. Hence the amount to be paid is £150, of which £50 must be paid after this coming barley harvest, with a similar sum after the two following harvests."

Amateur lawyers are not popular, and more often than not darken counsel without understanding.

An Arab called Salem had some land, and he employed two men of the Hawita tribe as shepherds and harvesters. One of these men was from the Nefoofa family of the Hawita, and the other was from a family called the Magai. These two shepherds worked peacefully together, and their respective families were friendly, until one day an Arab of the Gottaan tribe called Yadam Saadi drove his flocks and his animals on to Salem's land, and began to pitch his tents. These two shepherds saw this going on from afar, and

8: Kennett G-5 (ca. 1921) 1925 MT9 Libyan Bedouin MT9

682 672 came up to drive him and his animals away. Yadam refused to move, and threatened violence if they attempted to eject him forcibly. So the two shepherds, who were responsible to their employer for the land, went for him simultaneously—the Nefoofa shepherd with a thick stick, which he brought down with all his force on Yadam's head, and the Magai with a large stone, which he hurled as hard as he could, and which caught Yadam in the chest over the heart. Yadam received both these blows simultaneously, collapsed on to the ground in a crumpled heap, and died soon afterwards.

682 672 693 695 Yadam's family then brought an action against the Nefoofa and Magai families conjointly, claiming bloodmoney. The Nefoofa produced an amateur barrister of their own family called Abd el Gelil, who proved (entirely to his own satisfaction) that many minor assaults were continually taking place, when people were hit on the head without death following immediately as the result of the blow. He therefore contended that it must have been the blow from the stone thrown by the Magai which had really killed him. The Magai adopted a similar line of argument, and the case remained at a standstill for nearly two years.

Eventually the court effected a compromise, and awarded the bloodmoney, to be paid equally by the Nefoofa and the Magai in three yearly instalments of £50 each. The Magai paid up their £50, and the Nefoofa barrister—a particularly bumptious individual from the Nile Valley—lodged a protest against the decision, claiming that the court was not properly constituted and was not competent, that the sheikhs were biassed, and a hundred and one reasons why the Nefoofa should not contribute their share.

He was eventually made to understand that the Nefoofa would have to pay, and that if there were any more trouble from him, his own property would be seized as part-payment. Accordingly Abd el Gelil travelled round the Nefoofa encampments, ostensibly to collect sums due from

8: Kennett G-5 (ca. 1921) 1925 MT9 Libyan Bedouin MT9

the different families. A year later the Gottaan brought up the case again, acknowledging the receipt of £50 from the Magai, but protesting that they had still not received anything from the Nefoofa. On an enquiry being held, a representative collection of infuriated members of the Nefoofa family came to court, protesting that Abd el Gelil had already collected their payments, and that if he had not handed over the money, their responsibility at any rate had ended for the moment. 682 672 693 695

It subsequently transpired, when Abd el Gelil was with difficulty got hold of and brought to court, that he had collected about £50, but claimed this sum as his own personal travelling expenses for collection. Then followed another lawsuit, in which the Nefoofa family sued their self-appointed lawyer for mis-appropriation of tribal funds. The details of this new case, and those of the original suit, were then re-capitulated and muddled up together, to the complete bewilderment of the writer and the entire satisfaction of the Bedouin assessors. [685]

Mention has been made of the extra £100 (£400 instead of £300) usually paid among the Gawabees tribe as the price of a life. It seems, however, as though there are exceptions to this rule; and the following case seems to shew that the payment of "Sanaa" depends on a variety of circumstances. 682 672 [435]

A family called Sirdani had had a bloodfeud with another family of the Gawabees called Sayed, one of the former having killed one of the latter some fifty years ago. The two families had been living in totally different districts, and for years had not come into contact with each other, and so the feud had been allowed to continue. 682 628 672

In the spring of 1921 a man of the first family, about forty-five years old, called Mirtah el Sirdani, left the Wadi Natrun for the Nile Valley to buy some sheep from the Fellaheen, taking with him his old mother and a small boy, to help him bring them back across the desert to the luscious grazing in the wadi swamps. He arrived at the